Global Research Journal in Engineering, Science, Technology and Management (GRJESTM)
Volume 01, Issue 06 (November-December) 2025, pp. 267-271
GRJESTM :: An odaswa™ Journal
ISSN : 3107-3611 (Online)

REVIEW ARTICLE

Exploring Dark Matter and Dark Energy: Current Understanding and Challenges

Sunita R. Patil[1]*, Vijay S. Shinde[2], and Desai. A.B[3]

Abstract. Particle Dark matter and dark energy together constitute nearly 95% of the total energy density of the universe, yet their fundamental nature remains one of the most profound and unresolved problems in modern physics and cosmology. This review provides a comprehensive overview of the current understanding of these elusive components, focusing on observational evidence, theoretical frameworks, and ongoing experimental efforts. Dark matter is primarily inferred from its gravitational influence on visible matter, radiation, and the large-scale structure of the universe, with key evidence arising from galactic rotation curves, gravitational lensing, and cosmic microwave background measurements. In contrast, dark energy is introduced to explain the observed accelerated expansion of the universe, as revealed by distant Type Ia supernovae and large-scale cosmological surveys. Despite substantial progress in both observational and theoretical domains, neither dark matter nor dark energy has been directly detected, posing significant challenges to the Standard Model of particle physics and the theory of general relativity. Various theoretical candidates have been proposed for dark matter, including weakly interacting massive particles, axions, and other exotic particles, while dark energy is often associated with the cosmological constant or dynamic scalar fields. The review also examines recent advancements in experimental and observational techniques, including underground detectors, space-based telescopes, and large-scale surveys, which aim to constrain the properties of these components with increasing precision. Furthermore, it highlights the growing interplay between particle physics, astrophysics, and cosmology in addressing these questions.

Keywords: Dark matter, dark energy, cosmological constant, galactic rotation curves, gravitational lensing, cosmic microwave background, accelerated expansion, large-scale structure

*1. Department of Physics, Arts, Commerce and Science College, Chopda, Maharashtra, India
2. Department of Physics, Yashwantrao Chavan Mahavidyalaya, Tuljapur, Maharashtra, India.
3. Department of Physics, Dahiwadi College, Dahiwadi, Maharashtra, India.

Published By **odaswa**. Published in Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

1. Introduction

The composition of the universe has undergone a profound re-evaluation over the past century, fundamentally altering our understanding of cosmic structure and evolution. Early astronomical observations indicated that visible matter accounts for only a small fraction of the total mass in galaxies and clusters. Fritz Zwicky (1933) was among the first to propose the existence of unseen matter while studying the Coma galaxy cluster, identifying a significant discrepancy between the observed luminous mass and the gravitational mass required to hold the system together [1]. This “missing mass” problem laid the foundation for the concept of dark matter.

Subsequent observational studies strengthened this hypothesis, most notably through the work of Vera Rubin, whose measurements of galactic rotation curves revealed that stars in galaxies orbit at nearly constant velocities even at large radii. This behavior contradicts expectations based on visible matter distribution and implies the presence of an extended halo of non-luminous matter dominating galactic mass [2]. Additional evidence from gravitational lensing and large-scale structure formation has further reinforced the necessity of dark matter in cosmological models.

In parallel, observations of distant Type Ia supernovae led to another revolutionary discovery regarding the expansion of the universe. Independent studies by Adam Riess et al. (1998) and Saul Perlmutter et al. (1999) demonstrated that the universe is not only expanding but accelerating, a phenomenon that cannot be explained by ordinary matter or gravity alone [3]. This unexpected acceleration gave rise to the concept of dark energy, a mysterious component that exerts a repulsive effect on cosmic scales.

Together, dark matter and dark energy have transformed modern cosmology, establishing that ordinary baryonic matter constitutes only a minor fraction of the universe’s total energy content. These discoveries highlight the limitations of current physical theories and underscore the need for new frameworks to fully understand the nature and origin of these dominant yet elusive components [4].

2. Dark Matter: Evidence and Candidates

2.1. Observational Evidence from Galactic Dynamics

Dark matter is primarily inferred from its gravitational influence on visible matter, radiation, and the large-scale structure of the universe. One of the earliest and most compelling lines of evidence arises from galaxy rotation curves. Observations by Vera Rubin and W. Kent Ford (1970) demonstrated that stars in spiral galaxies orbit at nearly constant velocities even at large distances from the galactic center. This behavior contradicts predictions based on Newtonian dynamics, which would expect a decline in velocity with increasing radius. The observed flat rotation curves imply the presence of an extended halo of unseen mass, significantly exceeding the contribution from luminous matter [5].

2.2. Gravitational Lensing and Large-Scale Structure

Further strong evidence for dark matter comes from gravitational lensing, a phenomenon predicted by general relativity in which massive objects bend the path of light from distant sources. Observations of galaxy clusters reveal lensing effects that cannot be explained by visible matter alone. A notable example is the Bullet Cluster study conducted by Douglas Clowe et al. (2006), which provided direct empirical evidence that dark matter is spatially separated from ordinary baryonic matter during cluster collisions. These findings indicate that dark matter interacts weakly with itself and with normal matter, reinforcing its non-luminous and collisionless nature [6].

2.3. Theoretical Candidates and Experimental Searches

To explain these observations, several theoretical candidates for dark matter have been proposed. Among them, weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) remain one of the most extensively studied possibilities, as outlined by Gianfranco Jungman et al. (1996). Another promising candidate is the axion, introduced by Roberto Peccei and Helen Quinn (1977) to resolve the strong CP problem in quantum chromodynamics. Despite significant progress in experimental efforts—including underground detectors, direct detection experiments, and collider-based searches—no conclusive evidence for dark matter particles has yet been obtained. This ongoing lack of detection highlights one of the most critical open questions in modern physics and underscores the need for continued theoretical and observational exploration [7].

3. Dark Energy and Cosmic Acceleration

3.1. Cosmological Constant and Observational Evidence

Dark energy is introduced to explain the observed acceleration of cosmic expansion, one of the most profound discoveries in modern cosmology. The simplest and most widely accepted explanation is the cosmological constant, first proposed by Albert Einstein (1917) and later interpreted as a form of vacuum energy inherent to space itself. This model is strongly supported by multiple observations, including precise measurements of the cosmic microwave background by the Planck Collaboration (2018), which provide a consistent picture of a universe dominated by dark energy [8].

3.2. Dynamical Dark Energy Models

While the cosmological constant offers a simple explanation, alternative theories suggest that dark energy may be dynamic rather than constant. One such class of models involves scalar fields, commonly referred to as quintessence, which evolve over time and influence the rate of cosmic expansion. Robert Caldwell et al. (1998) explored these models, proposing that the energy density of dark energy could vary with cosmic time. Other theoretical approaches involve modifications to general relativity, suggesting that gravity itself may behave differently on cosmological scales, thereby eliminating the need for an additional energy component [9].

3.3. Observational Constraints and Open Questions

Large-scale observational programs have provided important constraints on dark energy models. Studies of baryon acoustic oscillations, galaxy clustering, and supernova surveys collectively support a cosmological model in which dark energy constitutes approximately 68% of the total energy density of the universe. These independent lines of evidence reinforce the consistency of current cosmological models, yet they do not reveal the underlying physical nature of dark energy. As a result, understanding its origin and properties remains one of the most significant open challenges in theoretical physics and cosmology, motivating ongoing research and future high-precision observational missions [10].

4. Challenges and Future Directions

4.1. Challenges in Dark Matter Detection

Despite compelling observational evidence, dark matter remains undetected through direct experimental means. One of the primary challenges lies in identifying its particle nature, as it interacts very weakly with ordinary matter. Large-scale experiments such as LUX Collaboration and XENON Collaboration, along with searches at the CERN Large Hadron Collider, have aimed to detect weakly interacting massive particles and other candidates. However, results to date have not provided conclusive evidence, placing increasingly stringent limits on theoretical models and narrowing the range of viable dark matter candidates [11].

4.2. The Cosmological Constant Problem

Dark energy presents an even more profound challenge, as it cannot be directly observed but is instead inferred from cosmological data. A major unresolved issue is the cosmological constant problem, which arises from the enormous discrepancy between theoretical predictions of vacuum energy in quantum field theory and the much smaller value inferred from observations. This inconsistency highlights a fundamental gap in our understanding of the relationship between quantum mechanics and gravity, suggesting that new physics may be required to reconcile these frameworks [12].

4.3. Future Observations and Prospects

Future missions and observational programs are expected to play a critical role in addressing these challenges. Space-based projects such as the Euclid mission and ground-based facilities like the Vera C. Rubin Observatory are designed to provide high-precision measurements of cosmic structure, gravitational lensing, and the expansion history of the universe. These data will offer tighter constraints on dark matter and dark energy models, potentially revealing new physics beyond current theories. Combined with advances in detector technology, computational methods, and theoretical modeling, these efforts are expected to significantly enhance our understanding of the universe's dominant yet mysterious components [13].

5. Conclusion

Dark matter and dark energy represent two of the most profound and enduring mysteries in modern physics and cosmology. Although their existence is strongly supported by a wide range of observational evidence—including galactic dynamics, gravitational lensing, and the accelerated expansion of the universe—their fundamental nature remains unknown. Over the past several decades, significant progress has been made in constraining their properties and understanding their role in cosmic evolution, yet no direct detection or complete theoretical explanation has been achieved. This persistent gap highlights the limitations of current models, including the Standard Model of particle physics and general relativity, when applied to large-scale and high-energy phenomena.

Ongoing and future research efforts are expected to play a critical role in addressing these challenges. Advances in astrophysical observations, such as high-precision cosmological surveys and next-generation telescopes, are providing increasingly detailed data on the structure and evolution of the universe. At the same time, particle physics experiments and underground detection facilities continue to search for viable dark matter candidates, while theoretical developments explore new frameworks that may unify existing theories or introduce novel concepts. The growing integration of cosmology, astrophysics, and particle physics is particularly important in this context, as it enables a more comprehensive approach to these complex problems.

Ultimately, understanding dark matter and dark energy is essential not only for explaining the composition and dynamics of the universe but also for advancing toward a unified description of the fundamental laws of nature. Continued interdisciplinary efforts and technological innovations will be key to unlocking these mysteries and shaping the future of modern science.

References

[1] Zwicky, F., "Die Rotverschiebung von extragalaktischen Nebeln," Helvetica Physica Acta, Volume 6, 1933.

[2] Rubin, V. C., Ford, W. K., & Thonnard, N., "Rotational Properties of 21 Sc Galaxies with a Large Range of Luminosities and Radii," The Astrophysical Journal, Volume 238, 1980.

[3] Riess, A. G., et al., "Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant," The Astronomical Journal, Volume 116, Issue 3, 1998; Perlmutter, S., et al., "Measurements of

Ω and Λ from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae," The Astrophysical Journal, Volume 517, Issue 2, 1999.

[4] Peebles, P. J. E., & Ratra, B., "The Cosmological Constant and Dark Energy," Reviews of Modern Physics, Volume 75, Issue 2, 2003.

[5] Rubin, V. C., & Ford, W. K., "Rotation of the Andromeda Nebula from a Spectroscopic Survey of Emission Regions," The Astrophysical Journal, Volume 159, 1970.

[6] Clowe, D., et al., "A Direct Empirical Proof of the Existence of Dark Matter," The Astrophysical Journal Letters, Volume 648, Issue 2, 2006.

[7] Jungman, G., Kamionkowski, M., & Griest, K., "Supersymmetric Dark Matter," Physics Reports, Volume 267, Issue 5–6, 1996; Peccei, R. D., & Quinn, H. R., "CP Conservation in the Presence of Instantons," Physical Review Letters, Volume 38, Issue 25, 1977.

[8] Planck Collaboration, "Planck 2018 Results. VI. Cosmological Parameters," Astronomy & Astrophysics, Volume 641, 2020.

[9] Caldwell, R. R., Dave, R., & Steinhardt, P. J., "Cosmological Imprint of an Energy Component with General Equation of State," Physical Review Letters, Volume 80, Issue 8, 1998.

[10] Eisenstein, D. J., et al., "Detection of the Baryon Acoustic Peak in the Large-Scale Correlation Function of SDSS Luminous Red Galaxies," The Astrophysical Journal, Volume 633, Issue 2, 2005.

[11] Akerib, D. S., et al., "Results from a Search for Dark Matter in the Complete LUX Exposure," Physical Review Letters, Volume 118, Issue 2, 2017; Aprile, E., et al., "Dark Matter Search Results from a One Ton-Year Exposure of XENON1T," Physical Review Letters, Volume 121, Issue 11, 2018.

[12] Weinberg, S., "The Cosmological Constant Problem," Reviews of Modern Physics, Volume 61, Issue 1, 1989.

[13] Laureijs, R., et al., "Euclid Definition Study Report," ESA Publications, "LSST: From Science Drivers to Reference Design and Anticipated Data Products," The Astrophysical Journal, Volume 873, Issue 2, 2019.