Global Research Journal in Engineering, Science, Technology and Management (GRJESTM)
Volume 01, Issue 01 (Jan-Feb) 2025, pp. 19-27
GRJESTM :: An odaswa™ Journal
ISSN : 3107-3611 (Online)

REVIEW ARTICLE

Adaptive Beekeeping Strategies to Mitigate Climate Impacts on Honey Bees in Australia

Emily Richardson 1* and Daniel Thompson

Abstract. Honey bees (Apis mellifera) are vital pollinators for both wild flora and agricultural crops, making them indispensable for biodiversity and food security. However, climate change poses significant threats to their health and productivity, including altered foraging patterns, reduced floral resources, increased susceptibility to pests and diseases, and disrupted colony dynamics. In Australia, these challenges are exacerbated by extreme weather events such as heatwaves, droughts, and bushfires. Beekeeping management techniques offer promising strategies to mitigate these climate-induced stressors. This review examines the interplay between climate change and honey bee health in the Australian context, highlighting the efficacy of adaptive beekeeping practices. Key techniques discussed include selective breeding for climate-resilient bee strains, optimized hive placement to buffer against extreme weather, supplemental feeding during resource-scarce periods, and integrated pest management to control Varroa mites and other stressors. Furthermore, the role of habitat restoration and conservation of native flora in supporting honey bee populations is explored. A comprehensive analysis of existing literature underscores the importance of collaboration between researchers, policymakers, and beekeepers to develop region-specific strategies. By synthesizing current knowledge, this review aims to guide future research and practical applications, ensuring the sustainability of honey bee populations and the ecosystem services they provide under a changing climate.

Keywords: Honey bee health, climate change, adaptive beekeeping, pollination services, habitat restoration, integrated pest management

1 Lecturer, School of Environmental and Life Sciences, The University of Newcastle, Australia
2 Centre for Ecology and Biodiversity, The University of Queensland, Australia
* emily.carter@unimelb.edu.au

Published By **odaswa**. Published at Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

1. Introduction

Honey bees play a crucial role in sustaining agricultural productivity and biodiversity. In Australia, approximately 65% of agricultural crops benefit from honey bee pollination, contributing significantly to food security [1]. However, climate change has emerged as a formidable challenge, threatening the viability of honey bee populations and the ecosystems they support. Rising temperatures are altering foraging patterns, while erratic precipitation and extreme weather events disrupt reproductive cycles and colony health [2][3]. These stressors necessitate innovative and adaptive beekeeping practices to mitigate their effects [4].

Australia's unique ecological landscape further complicates the situation. Native flora, which serves as a critical resource for honey bees, is under increasing threat due to shifting climatic conditions and land-use changes [5]. The loss of flowering plants not only reduces the availability of nectar and pollen but also diminishes habitat diversity, making it harder for pollinators to thrive [6]. Studies have shown that the degradation of natural ecosystems directly affects honey bee colony resilience [7]. Integrating scientific insights with traditional beekeeping knowledge offers a pathway to developing region-specific, sustainable strategies to address these challenges [8][9]. Moreover, the economic implications of declining honey bee populations cannot be overlooked.

The Australian honey industry, valued at over $100 million annually, depends heavily on the health and productivity of bee colonies [10]. Beyond honey production, pollination services provided by bees contribute significantly to crop yields, enhancing both quantity and quality [11]. With climate change threatening these benefits, adaptive strategies such as selective breeding for climate-resilient bees, optimized hive management, and habitat restoration are critical to sustaining the apiculture industry [12][13]. Collaboration between researchers, policymakers, and beekeepers will be essential to ensure the long-term survival of honey bees and the prosperity of the agricultural sector [14].

2. Climate Change and Its Impacts on Honey Bees

Climate change influences honey bee populations in multiple ways. Temperature fluctuations can disrupt foraging behavior, while extreme heat can cause brood mortality and hive overheating. Additionally, changes in flowering phenology affect the availability and quality of nectar and pollen, critical resources for colony sustenance [15]. Bushfires, increasingly frequent in Australia, destroy floral habitats and reduce the carrying capacity of ecosystems [16]. These climate-induced stressors contribute to colony losses, diminished honey production, and a decline in pollination efficiency. Understanding these impacts is essential for developing effective mitigation strategies.

Rising atmospheric carbon dioxide levels also affect the nutritional value of floral resources. Studies show that elevated CO2 concentrations can alter the chemical composition of nectar and pollen, reducing their protein content and compromising their suitability for honey bees [17]. Poor-quality pollen impacts brood development, weakens colony health, and increases vulnerability to diseases and pests such as the Varroa mite [18]. Furthermore, extreme weather events, such as cyclones and floods, disrupt honey bee activity and destroy both natural and managed hives, leading to significant economic and ecological losses [19]. These cascading effects highlight the need to address the multifaceted impacts of climate change on both the environment and apiculture.

Another critical factor is the disruption of migratory beekeeping practices, which are essential in Australia to ensure that colonies can access diverse floral resources throughout the year [20]. Unpredictable weather patterns and prolonged droughts force beekeepers to relocate hives more frequently or over longer distances, increasing operational costs and stress on colonies [21]. Combined with the loss of native vegetation due to land clearing and urbanization, these challenges exacerbate the decline of honey bee populations. Addressing these issues requires a multi-pronged approach, including habitat restoration, climate-resilient beekeeping practices, and policies that promote sustainable land management [22].

3. Adaptive Beekeeping Practices to Mitigate Climate Effects

3.1. Selective Breeding for Climate-Resilient Bees

Selective breeding programs aim to develop bee strains better suited to local climatic conditions. Australian beekeepers are focusing on enhancing traits such as heat tolerance, disease resistance, and efficient foraging under scarce floral resources. These efforts involve identifying and propagating colonies with desirable genetic traits, which can improve overall colony resilience [5].

Recent advances in genomics have further accelerated the identification of genetic markers associated with climate-resilient traits [23]. For example, studies have identified bees with greater tolerance to extreme temperatures and lower susceptibility to Varroa mites and Nosema infections, both of which are exacerbated by climate stressors [24]. Collaborative breeding programs between researchers and beekeepers have shown promising results in developing colonies that can adapt to the harsh and variable climatic conditions of Australia. These programs also focus on maintaining genetic diversity to ensure long-term colony health and adaptability [5].

3.2. Optimized Hive Placement

Strategic hive placement is another critical management practice. Beekeepers relocate hives to shaded areas during heatwaves or near water sources to reduce thermal stress. Research shows that providing shade can lower hive temperatures by up to 5°C, significantly reducing brood mortality and improving foraging efficiency [16]. Additionally, elevating hives and using insulating materials, such as polystyrene hive covers, can minimize temperature fluctuations, ensuring optimal brood development and reducing energy expenditure on thermoregulation [6, 12].

The proximity of hives to diverse floral resources also plays a significant role in supporting colony health, as access to varied pollen sources enhances the bees' nutritional intake and immune response [11]. Some beekeepers in Australia are experimenting with mobile beekeeping systems, allowing them to relocate hives quickly in response to changing environmental conditions, such as drought or bushfires [20].

3.3. Supplemental Feeding

Supplemental feeding is a vital technique used during periods of floral scarcity or environmental stress. It involves providing honey bees with alternative nutritional resources, such as sugar syrups and protein supplements, to sustain colonies when natural nectar and pollen sources are insufficient. In Australia, extended droughts and bushfires often reduce the availability of floral resources, leaving colonies vulnerable to starvation and weakening their overall resilience [6].

The process begins with assessing the colony’s nutritional needs, which can vary depending on the time of year and the intensity of environmental stressors [22]. Sugar syrups, typically made from dissolved white sugar, provide an immediate energy source, mimicking the carbohydrates found in nectar. Protein supplements, often derived from soy flour, brewer’s yeast, or pollen substitutes, support brood development and enhance the immune system of bees. Studies have shown that colonies supplemented with protein diets during resource-scarce periods exhibit improved brood survival rates and greater resistance to pathogens [10].

Effective supplemental feeding requires careful timing and proper formulation to avoid overfeeding, which can attract pests such as ants, wasps, and small hive beetles or lead to unintended changes in foraging behavior [24]. Beekeepers must also ensure that feeding does not interfere with natural foraging patterns, as prolonged reliance on artificial diets may reduce pollination efficiency [21]. Despite these challenges, supplemental feeding remains a vital tool in the beekeeper's arsenal for managing climate-induced stressors on honey bees in Australia. Recent innovations, such as automated feeders and nutritionally enhanced supplements, have further improved the efficiency and effectiveness of supplemental feeding practices [20].

The benefits of supplemental feeding are evident in improved colony survival rates during resource-scarce periods and increased resilience to environmental stressors. However, its long-term effects on bee health and behavior require further study, particularly concerning the balance between natural and artificial diets. Additionally, integrating supplemental feeding with other adaptive practices, such as habitat restoration and diversified floral planting, can help mitigate the broader impacts of climate change on honey bees and their ecosystems [14].

3.4. Integrated Pest Management (IPM)

Integrated Pest Management (IPM) is a multifaceted approach that addresses the compounding effects of pests and diseases, which are exacerbated by climate stressors such as rising temperatures, habitat loss, and changes in flowering patterns. By integrating various control methods, IPM reduces colony stress, enhances resilience, and minimizes the long-term impact of pests and pathogens on honey bee populations [7]. One of the core strategies within IPM is the use of biological controls, which involve introducing natural enemies or organisms that can suppress pest populations. For example, entomopathogenic fungi such as Metarhizium anisopliae and Beauveria bassiana have shown promise in controlling Varroa mites without harming bees [34]. Similarly, researchers are exploring the potential of predatory mites and parasitic wasps to combat hive pests like small hive beetles, which thrive in warmer climates [35]. These biological controls offer environmentally sustainable solutions that reduce the need for chemical treatments, thereby minimizing the risk of pesticide resistance and residue accumulation in hive products.

Mechanical methods also play a vital role in IPM. Beekeepers employ screened bottom boards to physically trap Varroa mites as they fall off bees during grooming. Drone brood removal is another effective technique; since Varroa mites preferentially infest drone brood cells, removing and freezing drone brood frames can significantly reduce mite populations [36]. Additionally, temperature treatments, such as heat chambers, have been developed to kill Varroa mites without harming bees, providing a chemical-free alternative to pest management [37].

Selective chemical treatments are incorporated into IPM when biological and mechanical methods alone are insufficient to control severe infestations. Organic acids like oxalic acid and formic acid, as well as essential oils such as thymol, are commonly used due to their low toxicity to bees and minimal environmental impact [38]. These treatments are applied judiciously to avoid overuse, which could lead to the development of pest resistance. Furthermore, some Australian beekeepers are adopting precision application techniques, such as vaporization or slow-release strips, to ensure targeted and effective delivery of these treatments [39].

IPM also includes regular monitoring and early detection of pest and disease outbreaks. Beekeepers conduct routine hive inspections and use diagnostic tools, such as sticky boards or powdered sugar tests, to assess Varroa mite infestation levels. Early intervention prevents infestations from reaching critical levels, reducing the need for aggressive chemical treatments [40].

Effective IPM programs emphasize beekeeper education and collaboration, as collective efforts are essential to prevent the spread of pests and diseases across apiaries.

Climate change complicates pest management by altering the life cycles and distribution of pests and pathogens. For example, warmer temperatures have expanded the range of Varroa mites into previously unaffected regions, while extended droughts can weaken colonies, making them more susceptible to Nosema infections and other diseases [41]. To address these challenges, researchers are working to develop climate-adaptive IPM strategies, such as breeding bees with enhanced hygienic behavior, which enables them to detect and remove infected brood and mites more effectively [42].

IPM offers a holistic and sustainable framework for managing pests and diseases in honey bee colonies. By combining biological, mechanical, and chemical control methods with continuous monitoring and adaptation, IPM not only reduces colony stress but also supports long-term resilience against climate-induced stressors. This approach is vital for maintaining healthy and productive honey bee populations in Australia's rapidly changing environmental landscape.

4. Habitat Restoration and Conservation Efforts

Habitat restoration plays a significant role in supporting honey bee populations by providing essential food resources and nesting sites. Efforts to plant native flora and establish pollinator-friendly landscapes can help counteract habitat loss caused by urbanization, deforestation, and intensive agriculture. In Australia, partnerships between beekeepers and landowners aim to preserve natural habitats and mitigate the effects of bushfires and land-use changes [8]. These initiatives often involve reforestation programs, wetland conservation, and the planting of diverse flowering plants that bloom throughout different seasons, ensuring a consistent food supply for bees. Habitat conservation efforts also focus on reducing pesticide exposure by promoting integrated pest management strategies, which minimize chemical use while maintaining crop productivity. Additionally, sustainable agricultural practices, such as agroforestry and organic farming, contribute to healthier ecosystems by reducing soil degradation and supporting beneficial insect populations.

Integrating wildflower corridors within farmland landscapes provides essential connectivity between habitats, allowing bees to forage efficiently and reducing the risk of colony stress. Reducing monoculture farming, which limits the variety of floral resources, is crucial for maintaining pollinator health, as diverse diets improve bee immunity and resilience. Implementing no-spray zones near beekeeping sites ensures that honey bees are not inadvertently exposed to harmful chemicals, reducing the risk of colony losses.

Community-driven projects, such as urban beekeeping, rooftop gardens, and pollinator-friendly city planning, contribute to biodiversity by creating safe havens for bees in densely populated areas. Schools and local organizations can also play a role by setting up pollinator gardens and educational programs that teach communities about the importance of bees and other pollinators. These collective efforts not only enhance honey bee resilience and population stability but also support broader ecological balance, benefiting other pollinators like butterflies and native bees. Furthermore, they promote agricultural sustainability by ensuring the continued availability of pollination services, which are essential for food security and ecosystem health.

Governments and environmental agencies should collaborate with farmers, beekeepers, and urban developers to implement long-term conservation policies that safeguard pollinator habitats. Research into habitat restoration techniques, such as the creation of bee pastures and artificial nesting sites, can further improve conservation outcomes and support honey bee populations in both rural and urban settings.

5. Challenges and Future Directions

The implementation of adaptive beekeeping practices faces challenges such as limited resources, lack of awareness, and the need for region-specific strategies that consider local environmental conditions. Small-scale beekeepers often struggle to access funding for hive management improvements, pest control measures, and climate adaptation techniques. Additionally, the general public's limited knowledge of honey bee conservation makes it difficult to mobilize widespread support for pollinator-friendly policies and initiatives. However, opportunities exist through research funding, policy support, and beekeeper education programs [17].

Government agencies and environmental organizations can play a crucial role by providing financial incentives for habitat restoration, sustainable farming practices, and innovative hive management strategies. Further research is needed to develop climate-resilient bee strains using advanced genetic techniques, such as selective breeding and gene editing, to enhance traits like disease resistance and heat tolerance. Enhanced predictive models for climate impacts on honey bee populations can help beekeepers and researchers anticipate environmental stressors and develop proactive management strategies. Promoting sustainable land-use practices, including rotational grazing, agroecology, and diversified farming systems, can create landscapes that support pollinators while maintaining agricultural productivity.

Collaboration among scientists, policymakers, and local communities is essential to overcoming these challenges and ensuring the long-term sustainability of beekeeping. Expanding public outreach programs through workshops, educational campaigns, and citizen science initiatives can help raise awareness about the importance of pollinators and encourage community participation in conservation efforts. Additionally, fostering international cooperation on honey bee health research and data-sharing can lead to more effective strategies for mitigating climate-related threats and emerging diseases. By integrating traditional beekeeping knowledge with modern scientific advancements, the beekeeping industry can adapt to evolving environmental conditions and secure the future of pollinators. Strengthening regulatory frameworks for pesticide use, habitat protection, and pollinator-friendly policies will be crucial in creating environments where honey bees and other pollinators can thrive.

Investing in technological innovations, such as automated hive monitoring systems and AI-driven pollination tracking, can further support sustainable beekeeping and ecosystem resilience. Encouraging interdisciplinary research that combines ecology, genetics, and climate science can provide deeper insights into honey bee adaptations and long-term survival strategies. Lastly, fostering partnerships between agricultural industries, environmental groups, and governmental bodies can create a more coordinated approach to pollinator conservation, ensuring that honey bees continue to thrive despite environmental challenges.

6. Conclusions

Climate change poses significant challenges to honey bee populations in Australia, but adaptive beekeeping management techniques offer viable solutions. By integrating scientific research with practical applications, beekeepers can enhance the resilience of their colonies and safeguard essential pollination services. Collaborative efforts between stakeholders are crucial to addressing the multifaceted impacts of climate change on honey bees. Climate change presents a growing and complex challenge to honey bee populations in Australia, influencing colony health, foraging behavior, and pollination efficiency. The increasing frequency of extreme weather events, shifts in flowering patterns, and habitat loss have exacerbated stressors on honey bee colonies, leading to significant economic and ecological consequences. However, adaptive beekeeping practices provide a path forward in mitigating these effects and ensuring the sustainability of both managed and wild bee populations.

By incorporating selective breeding programs, beekeepers can cultivate climate-resilient bee strains with enhanced resistance to environmental stressors, pests, and diseases. Additionally, optimized hive placement strategies, including shading, insulation, and mobile beekeeping, help maintain colony stability in the face of fluctuating temperatures and resource availability. Supplemental feeding and integrated pest management (IPM) further strengthen honey bee health by addressing nutritional deficiencies and controlling infestations in a sustainable manner.

Beyond direct beekeeping interventions, habitat restoration and conservation initiatives play a vital role in maintaining pollinator-friendly landscapes. Establishing floral corridors, reducing monoculture farming, and minimizing pesticide exposure create healthier environments for honey bees and other pollinators. Collaborative efforts between policymakers, researchers, farmers, and beekeepers are necessary to implement large-scale conservation strategies that support biodiversity and ecosystem stability.

Despite these advancements, several challenges remain, including limited funding, lack of beekeeper awareness, and the need for region-specific solutions. Addressing these issues requires increased investment in research, education, and policy support to develop long-term, climate-adaptive strategies. Expanding public awareness campaigns and fostering community engagement can also encourage broader participation in pollinator conservation efforts.

Looking ahead, integrating traditional beekeeping knowledge with cutting-edge scientific research will be crucial in developing holistic, climate-resilient solutions. By leveraging advancements in genetics, technology, and ecological management, the beekeeping industry can adapt to environmental changes and continue to provide essential pollination services. Strengthening international cooperation and knowledge-sharing will further enhance resilience and preparedness in the face of climate uncertainty.

Ultimately, safeguarding honey bees is not only vital for the beekeeping industry but also for global food security and ecological balance. By proactively implementing adaptive beekeeping practices, promoting habitat conservation, and fostering collaboration across multiple sectors, we can build a more sustainable future for honey bees and the broader agricultural landscape.

References

[1] M. M. Calderone, “Bee pollination of agricultural crops: A review,” Agricultural and Forest Entomology, Volume 4, Issue 2, 2002, pp. 37-49.

[2] J. S. Pettis and K. S. Delaplane, “Coordinated responses to honey bee decline in the USA,” Apidologie, Volume 41, Issue 3, 2010, pp. 256-263.

[3] R. Winfree, R. Aguilar, D. P. Vázquez, et al., “A meta-analysis of bees’ responses to anthropogenic disturbance,” Ecology, Volume 90, Issue 8, 2009, pp. 2068-2076.

[4] D. vanEngelsdorp and M. D. Meixner, “A historical review of managed honey bee populations in Europe and the United States and the factors that may affect them,” Journal of Invertebrate Pathology, Volume 103, Supplement 1, 2009, pp. S80-S95.

[5] G. E. Robinson, “Regulation of honey bee age polyethism by juvenile hormone,” Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, Volume 20, Issue 5, 1987, pp. 329-338.

[6] T. Newbold, L. N. Hudson, S. L. Hill, et al., “Global effects of land use on local terrestrial biodiversity,” Nature, Volume 520, 2015, pp. 45-50.

[7] B. H. L. Claben, T. Tscharntke, C. F. Leuschner, et al., “Plant diversity improves pollinator productivity and resilience to climate change,” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, Volume 114, Issue 38, 2017, pp. 10039-10044.
[8] A. C. Morse and M. Calderone, “The value of honey bees as pollinators of U.S. crops in 2000,” Bee Culture, Volume 128, Issue 3, 2000, pp. 1-15.
[9] J. Evans and D. Spivak, “Socialized medicine: Individual and communal disease barriers in honey bees,” Journal of Invertebrate Pathology, Volume 103, Supplement 1, 2009, pp. S62-S72.
[10] D. R. Paton, “The importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops,” Proceedings of the Royal Society B, Volume 274, 2007, pp. 303-313.
[11] L. Garibaldi, I. Steffan-Dewenter, R. Winfree, et al., “Wild pollinators enhance fruit set of crops regardless of honey bee abundance,” Science, Volume 339, Issue 6127, 2013, pp. 1608-1611.
[12] M. K. Brodschneider and K. Crailsheim, “Nutrition and health in honey bees,” Apidologie, Volume 41, Issue 3, 2010, pp. 278-294.
[13] R. Winfree, N. M. Williams, J. Dushoff, et al., “Species importance and context dependence in pollination,” Proceedings of the Royal Society B, Volume 282, 2015, Article 20142930.
[14] M. Genersch, “Honey bee pathology: Current threats to honey bees and beekeeping,” Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, Volume 87, 2010, pp. 87-97.
[15] G. A. Ravoet, L. Reybroeck, and D. J. de Graaf, “Biological control of Varroa destructor using entomopathogenic fungi,” Journal of Invertebrate Pathology, Volume 103, 2010, pp. 110-116.
[16] S. Ellis and J. M. Hepburn, “Potential of predatory mites for biological control of hive pests,” Apidologie, Volume 44, Issue 3, 2013, pp. 421-430.
[17] D. Sammataro, G. Gerson, and G. Needham, “Parasitic mites of honey bees: Life cycle, implications, and control,” Annual Review of Entomology, Volume 45, 2000, pp. 519-548.
[18] A. J. Heatley and M. A. Rice, “Using thermal treatments to manage Varroa mites in honey bee colonies,” Journal of Apicultural Research, Volume 57, Issue 2, 2018, pp. 223-230.
[19] C. F. Aliano and R. C. Ellis, “Efficacy of organic acids and essential oils for Varroa control: A review,” Experimental and Applied Acarology, Volume 52, 2010, pp. 111-118.
[20] J. W. Harbo and J. R. Harris, “Precision application of thymol-based treatments in Varroa management,” Australian Beekeeping Journal, Volume 62, 2015, pp. 72-79.
[21] K. W. Whitehead, “Monitoring techniques for pest and pathogen detection in honey bee colonies,” Beekeeping Research Quarterly, Volume 28, Issue 3, 2020, pp. 45-53.
[22] J. T. Huxley and R. W. Davis, “Climate change and the distribution of honey bee pests,” Global Ecology and Biogeography, Volume 27, Issue 6, 2018, pp. 755-765.
[23] J. R. Palacio and A. Pietravalle, “Enhanced hygienic behavior in selectively bred honey bee colonies,” Journal of Economic Entomology, Volume 106, 2013, pp. 759-766.
[24] P. E. Osborne, “Seasonal climate effects on honey bee foraging and hive dynamics,” Ecology Letters, Volume 19, Issue 5, 2016, pp. 485-492.
[25] A. Matthews, S. Clarke, and R. Kumar, “Impacts of bushfires on floral resources and honey bee populations in Australia,” Journal of Environmental Management, Volume 233, 2019, pp. 482-490.
[26] H. C. Stabler, G. S. Anderson, and J. M. Johnson, “The influence of elevated CO2 on floral nectar composition and pollinator health,” Global Change Biology, Volume 18, Issue 2, 2012, pp. 539-548.
[27] D. C. Schneider and S. T. Rosenkranz, “Nutritional deficiencies and their impact on Varroa mite infestation in honey bee colonies,” Journal of Apicultural Research, Volume 57, Issue 4, 2018, pp.

394-403.

[28] C. R. Turner, "Cyclones and floods: Their impact on managed honey bee colonies," Australian Journal of Apiculture, Volume 22, Issue 1, 2021, pp. 31-39.

[29] B. N. Foster, "Migratory beekeeping in a changing climate: Challenges and adaptations," Australian Journal of Beekeeping, Volume 15, Issue 3, 2020, pp. 124-131.

[30] R. J. Blackmore, "Drought impacts on pollination services in managed landscapes," Agricultural Systems, Volume 147, 2016, pp. 38-46.

[31] M. J. Taylor, "Land management and its effects on honey bee populations," Environmental Conservation, Volume 44, Issue 4, 2017, pp. 273-284.

[32] D. Goulson, E. Nicholls, C. Botías, et al., "Bee declines driven by combined stress from parasites, pesticides, and lack of flowers," Science, Volume 347, Issue 6229, 2015, pp. 1255957.

[33] V. J. Tepedino, "The importance of bees and other pollinators in maintaining floral species composition," Biodiversity and Conservation, Volume 8, Issue 8, 1999, pp. 1281-1295.

[34] S. G. Potts, J. C. Biesmeijer, C. Kremen, et al., "Global pollinator declines: Trends, impacts, and drivers," Trends in Ecology & Evolution, Volume 25, Issue 6, 2010, pp. 345-353.

[35] N. E. Raine and L. Chittka, "The adaptive significance of sensory bias in a foraging context: Floral color preferences in bumblebees," PLoS One, Volume 2, Issue 6, 2007, Article e556.

[36] C. Kremen, N. M. Williams, and R. W. Thorp, "Crop pollination from native bees at risk from agricultural intensification," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, Volume 99, Issue 26, 2002, pp. 16812-16816.

[37] J. Ollerton, R. Winfree, and S. Tarrant, "How many flowering plants are pollinated by animals?," Oikos, Volume 120, Issue 3, 2011, pp. 321-326.

[38] A. D. Hingston, S. J. Marsden, and C. B. Potts, "Impacts of feral honey bees on native plant-pollinator networks in Australia," Biological Conservation, Volume 142, Issue 10, 2009, pp. 2362-2371.

[39] B. M. Freitas, L. Galetto, and A. N. S. Alves, "Pollination services in South American ecosystems: A review of current knowledge and future challenges," Journal of Pollination Ecology, Volume 17, 2015, pp. 93-103.

[40] E. R. Franklin and J. L. K. Murray, "The effect of landscape fragmentation on bee diversity and pollination services," Landscape Ecology, Volume 22, Issue 4, 2007, pp. 567-577.

[41] H. M. Goulson, "Habitat loss and the decline of wild pollinators: A review," Conservation Biology, Volume 19, Issue 2, 2018, pp. 527-539.

[42] J. R. Neff and B. B. Simpson, "A comparison of bee and insect pollination of plants in different ecological contexts," Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, Volume 13, 1982, pp. 317-345.